| TOWNTU PROTECTION |
|-------------------|
| Same Decement |
| FLORIDA |
| |

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) | COMPLAINT/DISCOVER | |
|--|---|---|
| AIRS ID#: 0250714 DATE: <u>8/9/2010</u> | ARRIVE: <u>10:12 AM</u> | DEPART: <u>10:45 AM</u> |
| FACILITY NAME: IMPERIAL CLEANERSFACILITY LOCATION:4810 NW 7TH STMIAMI33126-2102 | | |
| OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JUA Email: juanfabregat@yahoo.com CONTACT NAME: JUAN FABREGAT Email: juanfabregat@yahoo.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 12/25/2008 / 12/25/20 (effective date) (end date) | Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: | (305)801-2351 |
| PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (c IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COM | | T Non-COMPLIANCE |
| PART II:FACILITY CLASSIFICATION (check \square only one box in A)- Rule 62A. 1.Existing small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)-3.Existing large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 \leq x \leq 2,100 gal/yr transfer only, 200 \leq x \leq 1,800 gal/yr both types, 140 \leq x \leq 1,800 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91)5.Ineligible for General Permit d rop store/out of business/petroleum / facility exceeds above limits | 2-213.300 FAC 2. New small area source dry-to-dry only, x < 140 transfer only, x < 200 ga both types, x < 140 gal/y (constructed on or after 1 4. New large area source dry-to-dry only, 140 ≤ transfer only, 200 ≤ x ≤ both types, 140 ≤ x ≤ (constructed on or after 1 | 0 gal/yr al/yr yr 12/9/91) $x \le 2,100$ gal/yr $\le 1,800$ gal/yr 1,800 gal/yr |

B. The sum of the volume of all perchloroethylene (perc) purchases made in each of the previous 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 0.00 gallons.

| PART III: <u>GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | | | check x for e | | only o uestic | |
|---|-------------------------|--|--|-------|------------------|-----|
| 1. Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 2. Are all perc. containers leak free ? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 3. Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading? | | Yes | | No | | |
| Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 5. Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 6. Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| | | | | | | |
| PART IV: <u>PROCESS VENT CONTROLS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u>, this form) 1. If the facility classification is an <u>existing small area source</u>, no controls are required. Pr 2. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped v condenser. Complete section A. below. 3. If the fa cility classification is an <u>existing large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped v condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. <i>Camust have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i> 4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u>, the machine should be equipped v condenser. Complete both sections A and B below. | with a oped arbon | a refrig with e a <i>adsor</i> a refrig | erated wither a <i>rber</i> gerated | I | | |
| A. Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources: | | | check x for e | | only o uestic | |
| 1. Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls? | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | | |
| 2. Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 3. Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 4. Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 5. Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F? | | Yes | | No | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 6. Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged? | | Yes | \boxtimes | No | | |

PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)

| B. | For all existing large or new large area sources: | | | | |
|----|--|---|-----|-------|-------|
| 1. | Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis? | | Yes | 🗌 No | |
| 2. | Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly? | | Yes | 🗌 No | □ N/A |
| | a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 3. | Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, | _ | | | _ |
| | if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber? | | Yes | No No | N/A |
| | a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 4. | Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, | | | | |
| | contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 5. | Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils? | | Yes | 🗌 No | □ N/A |
| 6. | Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |

| PA | ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC | ` | (check ☑ ox for each q | only one question) |
|----|--|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained ? | Yes Yes | □ No □ No | |
| 3. | Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or; | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| | b) Of any parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt? | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| | Is calibration data maintained for applicable direct reading instruments? | Yes | No | \square N/A |
| | Is exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations maintained? Is a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan maintained for each machine? | Yes Yes | ∐ No □ No | N/A |
| | Are deviation reports maintained? | Yes | □ No | N/A |
| | a) Problem corrected? | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |
| 8. | Is a compliance plan maintained, if applicable? | Yes | 🗌 No | N/A |

| P | ART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC | (check ☑ only one |
|----|--|---|
| 1. | What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks? | box for each question) |
| | Halogenated hydrocarbon detector PCE gas analyzer None used | |
| 2. | Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to | |
| | the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate | |
| | procedure) ? | Yes 🗌 No |
| 3. | For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer | |
| | operated according to EPA Method 21 ? | Yes No N/A |
| 4. | Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of | |
| | each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along | |
| | the interface periphery? | Yes 🗌 No |
| 5. | Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or | |
| | infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per | |
| | million by volume (based on documented specifications) ? | Yes No N/A |
| 6. | Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector capable of detecting vapor concentrations | |
| | of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and | |
| | indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting | |
| | an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? | Yes No N/A |
| 7. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sn | nell or touch) while the |
| | system is in operation (§63.322(k))? | |
| | (Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp | pection of perceptible leaks) |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills C) c) Filter gaskets and seating Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers C) d) Pumps Yes No N/A j) Diverter valves Yes | Yes No N/A Yes No N/A |
| 8. | Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a halog | enated hydrocarbon detector |
| | or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parag | raph shall satisfy the |
| | requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l)) | |
| | b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Stills c) Filter gaskets and seating Yes No N/A i) Exhaust dampers Yes d) Pumps Yes No N/A j) Diverter valves Yes | Yes No N/A |

| PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued) | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as required? Leak log documentation RO Assurances On-site observation other Explain other : | | | | |
| | | | | |
| FRANK DELGADO 8/9/2010 | | | | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection | | | |
| | 8/2011 | | | |
| Inspector's Signature | Approximate Date of Next Inspection | | | |
| | | | | |

| COMMENTS: | DRY CLEANING MACHINE HAS NOT BEEN USED IN MONTHS. ALL DRY CLEANING IS DONE AT |
|--------------|---|
| GIRALDA DRY | CLEANERS. |
| DRY CLEANING | MACHINE CANNOT BE REPAIRED. OWNER MIGHT BUY ANOTHER ONE. |